CES University Roll-up Report - 201509

UVic Course Experience Survey - Fall 2015

Project Audience 72269
Responses Received 34650
Response Ratio 48%

Creation Date   Tue, Jan 19, 2016

Response table

| UVic Course Experience Survey - Fall 2015	
Raters	**Students**
Responded	34650
Invited	72269
Response Ratio	48%

I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

### 1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions

Very Poor (1%)
Poor (2%)
Adequate (9%)
Good (30%)
Excellent (58%)
[Total (37029)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	37029
Mean	4.42
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.81

2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear

Very Poor (2%)
Poor (6%)
Adequate (15%)
Good (34%)
Excellent (43%)
[Total (36963)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	36963
Mean	4.09
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.00

3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course

Very Poor (4%)
Poor (7%)
Adequate (17%)
Good (30%)
Excellent (42%)
[Total (36919)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	36919
Mean	4.01
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.10

4. The instructor was available to answer your questions or provide extra assistance as required

Very Poor (1%)
Poor (3%)
Adequate (13%)
Good (33%)
Excellent (50%)
[Total (36898)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	36898
Mean	4.27
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.89

5. The instructor ensured that your assignments and tests were returned within a reasonable time

Very Poor (2%)
Poor (4%)
Adequate (13%)
Good (34%)
Excellent (48%)
[Total (36866)]

6. The instructor was helpful in providing feedback to you to improve your learning in this course

Very Poor (2%)
Poor (6%)
Adequate (18%)
Good (34%)
Excellent (40%)
[Total (36863)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	36866
Mean	4.21
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.94

Statistics	Value
Response Count	36863
Mean	4.02
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.02

7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students and their ideas

Very Poor (1%)
Poor (2%)
Adequate (8%)
Good (29%)
Excellent (60%)
[Total (36901)]

8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course

Very Poor (2%)
Poor (4%)
Adequate (12%)
Good (32%)
Excellent (50%)
[Total (36877)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	36901
Mean	4.44
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.83

| Statistics	Value
Response Count	36877
Mean	4.23
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.96

II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

### 1. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear

Very Poor (2%)
Poor (5%)
Adequate (15%)
Good (40%)
Excellent (38%)
[Total (34541)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	34541
Mean	4.08
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.94

2. The materials provided for learning the course content (e.g. handouts, posted material, lab manuals) were clear

Very Poor (2%)
Poor (5%)
Adequate (17%)
Good (38%)
Excellent (38%)
[Total (34483)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	34483
Mean	4.04
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.97

3. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content

Very Poor (2%)
Poor (6%)
Adequate (17%)
Good (37%)
Excellent (39%)
[Total (34407)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	34407
Mean	4.05
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.98

4. The course provided opportunities for you to become engaged with the course material, for example through class discussions, group work, student presentations, on-line chat, or experiential learning

Very Poor (3%)
Poor (7%)
Adequate (19%)
Good (32%)
Excellent (39%)
[Total (34387)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	34387
Mean	3.99
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.04

5. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning in the course were fair

Very Poor (3%)
Poor (5%)
Adequate (16%)
Good (39%)
Excellent (37%)
[Total (34443)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	34443
Mean	4.01
Median	4.00

6. The course provided relevant skills and information (e.g. to other courses, your future career, or other contexts)

Very Poor (2%)
Poor (4%)
Adequate (16%)
Good (36%)
Excellent (42%)
[Total (34432)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	34432
Mean	4.10

Standard Deviation	+/-0.99

Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.97

7. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience

Very Poor (2%)
Poor (5%)
Adequate (15%)
Good (38%)
Excellent (40%)
[Total (34446)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	34446
Mean	4.09
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.97

III Statements About The Students:

My primary reason for taking the course.

Interest (11553)
Program requirement (20523)
Reputation of Instructor (915)
Reputation of course (505)
Timetable fit (1070)
[Total (34566)]

The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend

Missed fewer than 3 (21180)
Missed 3-10 (6546)
Missed 11-20 (609)
Missed more than 20 (148)
[Total (28483)]

Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was

Extremely heavy (2197)
Somewhat heavy (9154)
Average (18277)
Somewhat light (4043)
Extremely light (847)
[Total (34518)]

The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:

- Less than 1 (2450)
- 1 to 2 (8974)
- 3 to 5 (14404)
- 6 to 8 (6019)
- 9 to 10 (1526)
- More than 10 (1156)
- [Total (34529)]

As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:

- Decreased (4345)
- Stayed the same (12683)
- Increased (17518)
- [Total (34546)]